	Reference Guide for Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
Third Party Quality Mo	Annexure 3 nitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY
Name of TPQM Agency	Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore
Date of Visit	21/12/2018
Current Visit No.	1 st
Date of Previous visit	Nil

4. P	ARTICULARS OF PROJECT						
1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Prad	esh				
2	Name of the City:	Rae Bareli					
3	Name of Project:	Construction of 182 DUs u 22nd CSMC 29-05-2017			DUs under l 017	PMAY	
4	Project Code:	090073360	)14N0				
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Parsadepur					
6	Location of the Project	All Wards	of ULB				
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (New	Construct	ion)			
8	No. of Houses in the project	182					
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	25/05/2017					
	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA share	Beneficiary share	Total	
10		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	
		273.0	182.0	0.0	267.5	722.5	
11	Date of sanction of 1 <sub>st</sub> installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	27-05-2017					
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs					
13	Date of Commencement	Scheduled			tual		
10	Date of Commencement		27-05-201		11-06-2017		
14	Date of Completion		Scheduled 27-05-2018		Expected 11-06-2018		
	Inspection undertaken by (Name)	C.S. Dubey		3	9111008974		
15	(with contact detail):	Priyank Ku				9111008977	
16	Name of State representative present	Not Presen					
17	Name of ULB representative present	Shudha Ka	ınt Mishra		857300230	9	
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclos	sed with P	hotos			
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized?	Yes					
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes					
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No					
22	Any other comment	No					



# Annexure 3 Third Party Quality Monitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY Name of TPQM Agency Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore 21/12/2018 Current Visit No. 1 st Date of Previous visit Nil

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Prad	esh				
2	Name of the City:	Rae Bareli					
3	Name of Project:	Constru 29th	ction of CSMC	37 27-1	DUS under 2-2017	PMAY	
4	Project Code:	090073360	)14N1				
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Parsadepur					
6	Location of the Project	All Wards o					
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (New	Construct	ion)			
8	No. of Houses in the project	37					
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	25/12/2017					
	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA share	Beneficiary share	Total	
10		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	
		55.5	37.0	0.0	31.7	124.2	
11	Date of sanction of 1st installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	27-12-2017					
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs					
13	Date of Commencement			ctual			
			7-12-2017	1		01-2018	
14	Date of Completion		Scheduled 27-12-2018	,	-	pected 01-2019	
	Inspection undertaken by (Name)	C.S. Dubey		,		008974	
15	(with contact detail):	Priyank Ku				008977	
16	Name of State representative present	Not Presen					
17	Name of ULB representative present	Shudha Ka	nt Mishra		857300230	9	
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclos	sed with Pl	notos			
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized ?	Yes					
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes					
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No	No				
22	Any other comment	No					



Reference Guide for Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
Annexure 3
oring Report of BLC projects under PMAY
Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore
21/12/2018
1 st

Name of TPQM Agency

Date of Visit

Current Visit No.

Date of Previous visit

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Pradesh					
2	Name of the City:	Rae Bareli					
3	Name of Project:	Construction of 24 DUS under PMAY 36th CSMC 23/07/2018					
4	Project Code:	090073360	)14N0				
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Parsadepur					
6	Location of the Project	All Wards o	of ULB				
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (Enha	ncement)				
8	No. of Houses in the project	24					
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	21/07/2018					
	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA share	Beneficiary share	Total	
10		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	
		36.0	24.0	0.0	20.6	80.6	
11	Date of sanction of 1st installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	23-07-2018					
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs					
13	Date of Commencement	Scheduled Actual					
	Bate of Commoncement		3-07-2018			3-2018	
14	Date of Completion		Scheduled 23-07-2019		1	ected 3-2019	
	Inspection undertaken by (Name)	C.S. Dubey			91110	008974	
15	(with contact detail):	Priyank Ku			91110	008977	
16	Name of State representative present	Not Presen	t				
17	Name of ULB representative present	Sharad Jain	1		9453029149	9	
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclos	sed with P	hotos			
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized?	Yes					
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes					
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No					
22		No					

Nil



# Annexure 3 Third Party Quality Monitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY Name of TPQM Agency Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore 21/12/2018 Current Visit No. 1 st Date of Previous visit Nil

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Prad	esh		Uttar Pradesh					
2	Name of the City:	Rae Bareli								
3	Name of Project:	Construction of 24 DUS under PMAY 36th CSMC 23/07/2018								
4	Project Code:	09007336014N0								
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Parsadepur								
6	Location of the Project	All Wards o	of ULB							
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (Enha	ncement)							
8	No. of Houses in the project	24								
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	21/07/2018								
	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA share	Beneficiary share	Total				
10		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)				
		36.0	12.3	0.0	0.1	48.4				
11	Date of sanction of 1 <sub>st</sub> installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	23-07-2018								
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs								
13	Date of Commencement				ctual					
13	Date of Commencement		3-07-2018		07-08-2018					
14	Date of Completion		Scheduled 23-07-2019		Expected 07-08-2019					
	Inspection undertaken by (Name)	C.S. Dubey	/		9111008974					
15	(with contact detail):	Priyank Ku	ımar Sahu		9111008977					
16	Name of State representative present	Not Presen	t							
17	Name of ULB representative present	Hargovind	Morya		8840380237	7				
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclos	sed with P	notos						
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized ?	Yes								
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes								
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No								
22	Any other comment	No								





1	Whether ULB/ State have organized workshop/ training programs to educate the beneficiaries about quality, construction methods, adherence to disaster resistant technologies etc.	YES
2	Whether testing facilities to check quality of material is available?	Testing facilities are not available at sites, however it is advisable that in the premises of the mouncipal council testing facilities should be developed for the beneficiaries
3	Whether regular tests of materials and construction products tests of materials are being done from accredited labs also?	Regular tests of materials and construction products tests of materials are not being done from accredited labs also.
4	(i) Whether the structural Designs are approved / Proof checked by competent Authority	Structural Designs are not approved / Proof checked by competent Authority.
	(ii) Name of the approving authority for structural design.	NA .
	(iii) Whether the statuary certificate for disaster resistance design and compliance of codal provision isendorsed by the structural designer on the structural drawings fit for execution, Specially in case of multistoried construction.	Not Required
	(iv) The work is being executed as per the approved drawings fit for execution.	NO
5	Whether the Inspection Registers, Site order book and Quality control Test registers are maintained and endorsed by the Engineer–in-charge?	No, (Instruction given to maintain the site order book, Inspection register & Quality control Test register.
6	Whether cement, steel, aggregates etc. being used in the work, got tested before use?	No building materials are being tested before use.
7	Whether manufacturer test certificate for cement, steel, pipes etc. have been obtained with supply and records are being maintained?	NA
8	Whether Cement is free from lumps and is stacked properly to prevent contact with moisture?	Yes
9	Whether soil investigations of the site proper have been done before the structural design and soil parameters have been accounted for in the structural design/drawings? If yes, attach copy of soil investigation report.	No, Soil investigations have been done

Innovative Carter

Reference Guide for Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017

	Reference Guide for	Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
10	Whether centering /shuttering is checked for staging & propping, line & level, dimensions, cleaning etc. and its quality approved before each stage and record maintained.	No record have been maintained.
11	Specific control on RCC work like: mixing by full bag capacity hopper fed mixer, control of slump, placing/compaction with vibrator. (proportioning with boxes not permitted)	Mannual mixing with hand compaction in minor work.
12	Whether cement register is maintained and checked at site. Comment on method of stacking.	No cement register have been maintained and the work has been carried out on petty purchases.
13	Whether concrete mix is nominal or design mix? (Nominal mix not permitted for quality concrete).	Nominal mix has being used
14	What is the Mode of Concrete mixing (batch mix/manual)? In case of manual mixing, whether mixing is by weight or by volume of ingredients? (Volumetric mixing not permitted).	Volumetric mixing (as reported by beneficiary)
15	Whether Mixer/Vibrator as specified is available at site with adequate means to run them during concreting?	NA
16	Whether Surface of reinforcement is clean and free from rust?	Yes
17	Whether potable water is used for construction?	YES
18	Whether proper water / cement ratio has been ensured?	NA
19	Whether the concrete being cured adequately as per requirements?	YES
20	Whether bricks are well burnt, of rectangular shape, with sharp edges, free from cracks and of correct size?	YES
21	Whether  i) Execution of Depth & width of Foundation is as per drawing/ adequate & bottom levelled	Adequate
	ii) Plinth height is as per drawing/ adequate	Adequate
	iii) Horizontal bands (as applicable) have been provided	Provided.
22	Whether quality has been checked by TPQMA/ State agency? If yes, details there of ?	YES, ULB staff has been checked. Found good quality of work.



23	Quality of work and workmanship, Comments on			
(i)	RCC work (concrete, Reinforcement detailing, cover to reinforcement). Whether Columns are in plumb (check for verticality)	Cover to Reinforcement is sufficient & the columns are in plumb verticaly.		
(ii)	Masonry (Joint details, verticality). Check adequate RCC bands are provided.	Masonary work is good.		
(iii)	Shuttering (Type of material-pucca/ katcha, support spacing)	Steel shuttering are mainly used but somewhere wooden shuttering are also used with steel shuttering.		
(iv)	Bar bending and stirrups bending , placement and cover to reinforcement bars.	Provided.		
(v)	Plastering	Good		
(vi)	Doors and windows	Satisfactory		
vii)	Seepage, if any	Not found		
viii)	Cracks, if any	NA		
(ix)	Honey combing, if any	No		
(x)	Any other	Satisfactory		
24	Whether provision has been made for Service lines (Electrical, Water Supply,Sanitation etc.)	Yes		
25	Whether floor slope (especially) in bath,WC, kitchen, terrace and balcony etc. are proper?	Yes		
26	Whether adequate plinth height (above the general ground level) is provided to the ground floor of the building to avoid possibility of rainwater and reptiles in the building.	Yes		
27	Whether dampness/leakages noticed? If yes, state location and probable reasons.	No dampness found		
28	Whether remedial measures are undertaken by IA/ ULB to stop Dampness & Leakages if any?	Instruction has been given during visit.		
29	Whether disaster resistant features have been incorporated?	The construction is being carried by beneficiaries and quality of construction maintained satisfactory.		
30	Any other comments	The inspected sites are in order and construction is being carried out by beneficiaries themselves . The construction		
31	Report on Overall assessment of quality	On inspection of houses under ULB, We found that some houses are plinth level, some houses are lintel level while some houses are roof level. Quality of concrete in beam coloun and slab is found satasfactory. Proper cover is maintain in RCC work Masonary works is good.		

C. P	ROGRESS - HOUSING COMPONENTS					
1	Numbers of houses sanctioned in the Project	182	37	24		
2	Numbers of houses Completed	45	0	0		
3	Numbers of houses in progress	117	33	24		
4	Stages of Progress:			11/4		
i)	Foundation level (Nos.)	54	8	24		
ii)	Plinth level (Nos.)	41	7	0		
iii)	Lintel level (Nos.)	14 0				
iv)	Roof level (Nos.)	15	0			
v)	Finishing level (Nos.)	26 0 0				
5	Numbers of houses not started	20	0			
6	Reason for non-starter of houses, if any	Surrendred				
7	Numbers of houses occupied by the Beneficiaries	0				
8	Reasons for Non-occupation of houses (If any)- specific reasons to be given	Under construction				
		Sanctioned As per Implement			atio	
9	Size of Unit (Carpet Area)	Proposed avg. 30 Most of the houses a sq.m 30 sqm			are	
10	Overall physical progress of Housing (in %)	Approx (28%)				
11	Whether the progress of houses has been ensured through geo-tagged photographs at various construction level?	Yes				
12	Whether DBT of fund to individual beneficiary is ensured in the project?	Yes				
13	Whether the building plan(s) conform to NBC norms?	Yes				
14	Whether authenticated building plans and revisions if any, are available?	Yes				
15	Deviation, if any	No				
16	Any other comment	No				
D. C	IVIC INFRASTRUCTURE					
1	Whether provision of Civic infrastructure components (i.e. water supply, Sewerage, Drainage, Roads, SWM etc.) has been ensured?	Yes				

3	Whether the Existing/proposed infrastructure components are independent and/or integrated with city		
	level infrastructure?	NA	
F 04	Any other comments	No	
E. 50	OCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE		
	Whether provision of Social Amenities (i.e. School, Anganwadi, Health centre, Community centre, Livelihood centre etc.) has been ensured?	Yes	
2	Any other comments	No	
F. FI	NANCIAL PROGRESS & FUND UTILIZATION (	Rs. in Lakhs)	
1	Sanctioned fund for entire project.	722.54	204.78
2	Amount of funds received up to date	182.00	37.00
3	Up-to date Expenditure	182.00	37.00
4	Amount of funds Utilized (out of received)	182.00	37.00
5	Amount of Expenditure by ULB	Nil	Nil
6	Amount of Expenditure by Beneficiaries	Construction work is being done by beneficiaries	
7	Balance funds Expected/due from stakeholders	182.00	61.00
G. C	OST VARIATION and TIME-OVERRUNS		
Cost	variation:		
1	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the reasons?	Not applicable for BLC works	
Time	Overruns:		
1	Whether there is time overrun? If yes, what are the reasons?	Not applicable for BLC works	
H. R	EMEDIAL MEASURES TO IMPROVE PROGRE	SS AND QUALIT	Υ
Unde	rtaken by Implementation agency to improve the:		
1	Physical Progress	28%	
2	Financial Progress	Timely payment of installments must be assured to beneficiaries.	
3	Quality management	Supervision from the side of PMC need to be improved for quality.	
4	Comments and suggestions of TPQMA on above	Construction carried out by beneficiaries are good. Maintain a workshop for beneficiaries ward wise to give knowledge about construction.	
I. CC	DURT CASES AND LITIGATIONS		
	(To be specified)	Nil	


J. C	THERS	
1	Any innovative/ cost effective/ green technology has been used	NO
2	Feedback of beneficiaries regarding provision of Physical & Social infrastructure:	Satisfactory
3	Reasons for delay in completion of housing and Infrastructure, if any:	Work in progress
4	Any specific observation by the beneficiary	No
5	Overall observation on the project (with adequate photographs covering project to a large extent including quality issues as applicable)	
	Critical observations	Progress of the project is satisfactory
	2. Action suggested by TPQMA to SLNA and IA	Nil
6	Action taken report on previous report:  i. Observations of TPQMA	
	ii Action suggested by TPQMA iii Action taken by Beneficiaries/ IA (Implementation Agency) iv Whether TPQMA is satisfied with the action taken	This was first visit
7	TPQMA's Overview of the Project (in 400-500 words) in a separate sheet	The overall project progress is good, and management need to pay attention on the timely payment of 2nd stage. The construction is being carried by beneficiaries themselves and guidance on construction techniques is being provided by PMC, However to maintain the quality of work and as per section B point no.13 of this report. Work progress is slow as per commecement date. Regular frequency of visit of ULB officials is required for close supervision over work.




Innovative CADD Centre Indore,
Authorised Signatory

S.No	Beneficiary Name	SADEPUR . Father's Name	
3.110	beneficiary Name	rather's Name	
1	Peer Mohammad	Abdul Rahmaan	
2	Wali Mohammad	Abdul Rahmaan	
3	Chhote Lal	Ram Baksh	
4	Mohd Haleem Khan	Abdul Kayyum Khan	
5	Jagdeesh	Puttu	
6	Bittan	Kallu	
7	Mohd Mustaqim	Naim Khan	
8	Islamun Nisha	Late Khuda Baks	
9	Mo Nawab	Mo Shabbeer	
10	Kabeer Ahmad	Musir Ahmad	
11	Shahida Bano	Mohd. Babbu	
12	Suresh	Kirpal	
13	Mohd. Gulsher	Nanku	
14	Shreeram	Sundar	
15	Chaurasiva Mohd Khalil	Cheddu	
16	Hasmatun Nisha	Khalid	
17	Ramkali	Late Ram Gopal	
18	Neelam Devi	Ram Naresh	
19	Ramdeen	Chhedi Lal	
20	Madan Lal	Shyam Lal Chaurasiya	
21	Chaurasiva Vidyadhar	Mata Prasad	
22	Maya	Uday Raj	
23	Meva Lal	Chhedi Lal	
24	Sah Jahan	Mo Navi Baksh	
25	Sitarun Nisha	Mo Vaheed	
26	Jiyaram	Bharat	
27	Sarla Devi	Rajendra Srivastav	
28	Chand Babu	Mo Rais	
29	Mo Raees	Bikanu	
30	Mo Shabeer	Abdul Mazeed	
31	Mo Siddiqui	Rasul Baksh	
32	Tara .	Mangru Lal	
33	Mohd Tariq	Mohd Hasan	
34	Takdirunnisha	Badalu	
		Later Constitution of Physics Section 1 and 1 and 1 and 1	





### **PARSADEPUR**



## PARSADEPUR



## **PARSADEPUR**

